Tasking Memorandum No. 99-110

Memorandum For Commanders of DCMC Bell Helicopter, Dallas, Dayton,

Detroit, Philadelphia, Santa Ana

Subject: Small Dollar Contracts Study (TASKING)

Date: February 18, 1999

Suspense Date: March 8, 1999

Target Audience: Selected CAO Commanders and Operations Chiefs

Requirement(s):

Support a visit by HQ, District and CAO personnel

- Randomly select 100 recently closed contracts having a value under \$100,000.
- Complete the attached questionnaire for each of the contracts prior to the visit.
- For the two days of the visit, provide the visiting six-person team with a conference room with phone, copies of the selected contracts, a completed questionnaire for each contract, org charts, and functional points of contact.
- On first morning of visit, provide short, informal presentation to team regarding what you are doing to manage small dollar contracts
- Expect the team to arrive at 0800 on the first day at each location. Schedule is as follows:
 - March 8 and 9 Detroit
 - March 10 and 11 Dayton
 - March 15 and 16 Santa Ana (abbreviated visit by partial team)
 - March 18 and 19 Philadelphia
 - March 22 and 23 Dallas
 - March 24 and 25 Bell Helicopter
- The team is projected to consist of the following six functional specialists:
 - Lt Col Karen E. Osborn, HQ, 64P4 (Leader)
 - Vivian Hill, HQ, GS-1102
 - Larry Shields, DCMDW, GS-1910
 - D'Arcy W. LeMire, DCMDE, GS-1150
 - Dave Brunello, DCMC Twin Cities, GS-1910
 - Belinda Brown, DCMC San Antonio, GS-1102
- HQ DCMC has a responsibility to ensure that the administration we are performing on contracts is needed, that buying activities are using our services wisely, and to determine whether we can recommend more efficient business practices within DoD. To this end, a team of HQ, District and CAO personnel will visit six CAOs to gather information on how DCMC adds value, particularly on smaller contracts. If most of your ACOs and contract files are located at satellite offices, please restrict your contract samples to no more than the three nearest satellite locations.
- The PLAS process code for this tasking activity is 192.

Point of Contact for Further Information:

Lt Col Karen E. Osborn, Deputy Director Supplier Excellence Group (DCMC-OG)

Phone: (703)767-3442 DSN 427 Email: <u>karen_osborn@hq.dla.mil</u>

Signature:

ROBERT W. SCHMITT for

/s/

JILL E. PETTIBONE Executive Director Contract Management Operations

Attachment: Questionnaire

Space Reserved for the HQ DCMC
QA
Contracts
Production
Database entry

STUDY OF SMALL DOLLAR CONTRACTS

1. Contract N	umber:				
2. DLA Suppl	y Center/Service of b	buying office Richmond	Columbus (includes DES	DPSC C)	DISC
		Army	Navy	USAF	Other
3. Contractor	: Name:				
	Address:				
	City, State				
4. CAO:		Dayton Philadelphia Bell Helicopter (Fort Wo Santa Ana Detroit Dallas	rth)		
5. CAO Conta					
	Type (check one):	Manufacturer Distributor (wholesald Retailer Other (explain)			
8. CAR Part (check one): A B				
9. If contract	was in CAR Part A,	reasons why (check all that a	pply)		
_		than Firm Fixed Price i.e., co	* -		

. Contractual Technical Data Package (check one) Government TDI						
		9				
	_	99,999				
	-	25,000				
2,501 5,001	-	5,000 10,000				
. Contract \$ value (check One) 0	-	2,500				
	-					
. Number of ACRNs	1	 >1				
. Number of CLINs (check one):	1	2-5	6-10	10-20	>20	
Other (explain)						
"A" mods						
Responses to PCO inquiries Contractual Remedies						
Responses to contractor inquiries						
Reported to Customer?						
Delay or Delivery Forecasts?						
Telephone						
On site						
Production Surveillance						
If a contract was in CAR Part B, identify non-QA	A CAU el	nort on this	contract	(check all	tnat apply):	
If a continuation of the CAR Rent R 11-116	A CAO 4	2Com4 o 41.*	aam4 4	(aha-l- : P	4h a4 am 1>	
Other Part A reason not			.			
R9 63 restrictive marking		ed by engine	ering			
R9 62, restry sftwr 52.22		27-7010				
R9 60, rgts data/sitwr 52						
R9 56, manual close-out R9 60, rgts data/sftwr 52		2				
R9 54, prime administra		r disbursing	office			
R9 52, DD form 250 not 1						
R9 42, negative preawar						
R9 27, small business add		ion 8A prog	ram con	tract		
R9 23, notice of awards						
R9 22, transportation of						
	R9 17, production progress report DD375 due from contractor					
R9 09, terminated for de						
	R9 04, spares provisioning R9 06, unpriced order R9 08, Demilitarization clause req. for final payment					
R9 03, special tooling rep R9 04, spares provisionin						
R9 02, patent rights repo						
R9 01, Non-DoD reimbur		contract				
	Special Contract Provision F, first article requirement					
Special Contract Provision						
Special Contract Provision						
Classification is "C" (DE						
Small Disadvantaged Bu						
Property Administration		eciai contra	ct provis	non "E"		

Production Surveillance Category 1

	None
15. Customer Directi	
	_ QALI (specific)
	QALI (general) QALI (explain)
	QALI (capiani)
6. Inspection Point	(check one) ?
or mappedian i ome	
	_ Source
	Destination
	Other (explain)
17 a. Acceptance Poi	int (check one):
	Source
	Destination
	Other (explain)
b. FOB Point	Origin Destination
18 a. Risk-classificat	tions of key/critical contractor processes (check all that apply):
	High Moderate Low
b. DCMC QA sur	rveillance on this contract (check all that apply)
	Perform or witness First Article
	Process Surveillance
	 Perform in-process inspections Perform or witness detailed final inspection
	Kind/Count/condition final inspection
	Other (explain)
	None (explain)
	_ \ \ \ \
19. Criticality of app	plication of contract items (check one)? Contract or QALI identified as critical application items
	QAR identified as critical application item
	Unknown if critical application
	Definitely not critical application
20 Contractor quali	ity history supplying the contract items (Check one)?
20. Contractor quan	No history (new item for this contractor)
	History Unknown (no records available)
	Satisfactory history
	Marginal history
	Unsatisfactory history
21. QAR opinion of (QA risks on this contract (and explain why)?
High	Moderate Low
22. a. OAR oninion	Is GSI necessary on this contract (Yes No, and explain why)?
a. Viik ohimon.	25 352 necessary on this contract (16510, and capital wily).

	b. If "No", has the CAO requested PCO action to remove source inspection from contracts? YesNo
	c. If "Yes", what was PCO's reaction? Request Denied Immediate Change Change for future contracts?
23.	Any other DCMC "value-added" on this contract (Yes or No, and explain why)?
24.	Number of contract pages:
	1 2 3 4 -5 6-10 11-20 21+
25.	Is this an ODO contract? Yes No
26.	If this is an ODO Contract, does the CAO actually use the contract data they input into MOCAS?
(ex	Yes No plain)
27.	Is this a "fast-pay" contract? Yes No
28.	a. Does contract authorize CoC option? Yes No
	b. If yes, did the CAO exercise the CoC option?YesNo
29.	Did the CAO use Alternative Release Procedures (ARP) on this contract?Yes No
30.	a. Is this a FAR Part 12 commercial contract (includes FAR 52.212-4 clause)? Yes No
	b. If yes, did the contract include addendum specifying particular in-process surveillance to be performed by DCMCYesNo
31.	a. Is surveillance for the contract covered under any CSO agreements? YesNo
	b. If yes, check all that apply: QA Production Property Other (specify)
32.	a. Has a Quality System Evaluation (QSE) been performed on this contractor? Yes No
	 If yes, what were the techniques utilized in accomplishing this review: Data Review 2nd or 3rd Party Certification Audit Other (specify)
33.	Has a 2 nd party Quality System review been performed on this contractor?

Yes No
34. Has a 3 rd party Quality System review been performed on this contractor? Yes No
35. Does the contract/order carry the Fast Pay Procedures, Clause FAR 52.213-1 or DFARS 213.302?
36. Contract input of this ODO contract was performed by:
ACOProcurement TechnicianCMAI/SQAROther
37. Responsibility for Contract Input of ODO is (check one) CentralizedDecentralized
38. Is there a contract requirement for a DD 250? Yes No
39. Responsibility for DD 250 input is: (check one) Centralized Decentralized
40. Does the contract contain FAR Clause 52.225-10, Duty Free Entry? Yes No
41. If Duty Free Entry Clause is contained in the contract, was a duty free certificate obtained through DCMC New York? Yes No N/A
42. ACO Opinion of Government Risk on this contract (low, moderate, or high and explain why) LowModerateHigh
43. ACO Opinion: Is contract administration required on this contract (yes or no and explain why)? Yes No
44. Were any administrative modifications issued against this contract?
Yes No 45. How many administrative modifications?
1 2-5 6-10 10-20 >20
46. Closeout of this contract was performed by: (check all that apply)
ACO Procurement Technician Property Administrator CA QAR IS CMA

47. For ODO contracts, how much time was required for input to MOCAS?
Did not input to MOCAS
5-10 min
10-15 min
15-20 min
> 20 min
48. Was PCO action required to input, administer, or closeout this contract? YesNo
49. If manual closeout was required, how much time to close this contract?
5-10 min